

Is the Schwarzschild black hole spurious?

Israel Quiros*

Departamento de Física. Universidad Central de Las Villas. Santa Clara. CP: 54830 Villa Clara. Cuba
(February 7, 2008)

A point of view is presented, according to which, the well known picture with the Schwarzschild black hole in canonical general relativity is one in a whole class of conformal representations of the same physical situation, that are physically equivalent. Symmetry arguments are presented that favour a conformal picture without singularity instead of the Schwarzschild one.

04.20.-q, 04.20.Dw, 04.70.Bw

One of the most undesirable features of general relativity is the occurrence of spacetime singularities where the physics becomes unpredictable. The inevitability of spacetime singularities is considered rather as a break down of Einstein's theory. As an illustrative example of this feature take the static, spherically symmetric solution to general relativity for empty space, given by the canonical action:

$$S = \frac{1}{16\pi} \int d^4x \sqrt{-\hat{g}} \hat{R} \quad (1)$$

where $\hat{R}$ is the Ricci scalar of the metric $\hat{g}_{ab}$. This solution, found by Schwarzschild in 1916, can be written in the form of the following line element ($d\Omega^2 = d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\varphi^2$):

$$d\hat{s}^2 = -\left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)dt^2 + \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)^{-1}dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega^2 \quad (2)$$

Spacetimes described by this line element show a curvature singularity at the beginning of the Schwarzschild radial coordinate $r = 0$ that is enclosed by an event horizon at $r = 2m$. The resulting object is known as Schwarzschild black hole and is the simplest of these objects. Although the inside of the Schwarzschild black hole ($r < 2m$) is causally disconnected from the outside observers so no causal information about the central singularity can be received by them, the fact that these observers can fall into the black hole and inevitably hit the singularity, is considered as a breakdown of general relativity.

In the search for a solution to the problem with the spacetime singularities in the frame of general relativity, some regular black hole models as well as an exact solution have been proposed (see ref. [1] and references therein).

In this letter we shall present a point of view on this problem, in connection with the singularity enclosed inside of the Schwarzschild black hole, that is based on a postulate about the physical equivalence of the usual Einstein's formulation of general relativity given by (1) and its conformal formulation based on the action¹:

$$S = \frac{1}{16\pi} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} [\phi R + \frac{3}{2} \phi^{-1} (\nabla\phi)^2] \quad (3)$$

where R is the Ricci scalar given in terms of the metric g_{ab} that is conformal to $\hat{g}_{ab}$:

$$\hat{g}_{ab} = \phi g_{ab} \quad (4)$$

where ϕ is some scalar function given on the manifold. Spacetime coordinates are unchanged under the conformal rescaling of the metric (4) that can be taken as a particular transformation of the units of measure [3]. Any dimensionless quantity such as, for example, the dimensionless gravitational coupling constant Gm^2 ($\hbar = c = 1$), where m is the rest mass of some particle, is kept unchanged under the transformation (4) [3]. Then, the fact that Gm^2 is a constant in general relativity, is a conformal invariant feature of this theory. In the formulation due to (1) both,

*israel@uclv.etecsa.cu

¹A similar point of view in conformal Brans-Dicke-type theories of gravitation was presented in ref [2]

the dimensional gravitational constant G and the particle's rest mass m , are constant over the manifold, while in the conformal formulation due to (3), both G and m are variable over the spacetime manifold: $G \sim \phi^{-1}$ and $m \sim \sqrt{\phi}$.

Such as the observables of the theory, being always dimensionless numbers², are invariant under the rescaling (4) (for an illustrating discussion on the dimensionless nature of measurements see section II of reference [4]), we can conclude that physical experiment is not sensitive to this transformation, i.e., a statement such like: 'Einstein's formulation of general relativity based on action (1) (or any other conformal formulation of this theory) is the physical one', is devoid of any physical, i.e., experimentally testable meaning, and can be taken only as an independent postulate of the theory.

In this letter we shall develop a point of view based on the following postulate: conformal representations of general relativity (in particular those linked with actions (1) and (3) respectively) are physically equivalent. This postulate leads that the geometrical interpretation of a given physical situation through general relativity is not just one unique picture of it, but a whole equivalence class of all conformally related pictures [2].

The field equations derivable from the action (3) are:

$$G_{ab} = -\frac{3}{2}\phi^{-2}[\nabla_a\phi\nabla_b\phi - \frac{1}{2}g_{ab}(\nabla\phi)^2] + \phi^{-1}[\nabla_a\nabla_b\phi - g_{ab}\square\phi] \quad (5)$$

and

$$\square\phi - \frac{1}{2}\phi^{-1}(\nabla\phi)^2 - \frac{1}{3}R\phi = 0 \quad (6)$$

where $G_{ab} \equiv R_{ab} - \frac{1}{2}g_{ab}R$. Equations (5) and (6) can be considerably simplified if we look for particular solutions with the curvature scalar given by: $R = -\frac{3}{2}\phi^{-2}(\nabla\phi)^2$. In this case the scalar function ϕ can be found as solution to the wave equation:

$$\square\phi = 0 \quad (7)$$

Under (4) this equation is mapped into: $\square\hat{\phi} = 0$, with $\hat{\phi} = \ln\phi$. This last equation can be treated by considering the metric with hat in eq.(2). The solution is: $\hat{\phi} = q \ln(1 - \frac{2m}{r})$ where q is a real parameter, so the corresponding solution to eq.(7) is found to be:

$$\phi = (1 - \frac{2m}{r})^q \quad (8)$$

By using the transformation (4) we can find the components of the metric g_{ab} that is conformal to $\hat{g}_{ab}$ without solving eq.(5). The line element conformal to (2) is given by:

$$ds^2 = -(1 - \frac{2m}{r})^{1-q} dt^2 + (1 - \frac{2m}{r})^{-1-q} dr^2 + \rho^2 d\Omega^2 \quad (9)$$

where we have defined the proper radial coordinate $\rho = r(1 - \frac{2m}{r})^{-\frac{q}{2}}$. The real parameter q labels different spacetimes $(M, g_{ab}^{(q)}, \phi^{(q)})$. The curvature scalar can be written as:

$$R = -\frac{6m^2q^2}{r^4}(1 - \frac{2m}{r})^{q-1} \quad (10)$$

showing that for $q \geq 1$ the surface $r = 2m$ is non-singular.

²Take, for example, the measurement of the energy E of a given physical system. That one really measures in experiments is the number n of times the unit of energy E_0 fits into the quantity being measured: $E = nE_0$, i.e., the dimensionless quantity E/E_0 .

The main features of the solution given by the line element (9) are the following. For the range $-\infty < q < 1$ the Ricci scalar shows a curvature singularity at $r = 2m$. For $-\infty < q < 0$ this represents a timelike, naked singularity at the origin of the proper radial coordinate. We shall drop these spacetimes for they are not compatible with the cosmic censorship conjecture [5]. Situation with $q = 0$ is trivial: in this case conformal transformation (4) coincides with the identity transformation that leaves the theory in the same frame. For $q > 0$, the limiting surface $r = 2m$ has the topology of an spatial infinity so, in this case, we obtain a class of spacetimes with two asymptotic spatial infinities (one at $r = \infty$ and the other at $r = 2m$), joined by a wormhole with a throat radius $r = (2 + q)m$, or the invariant surface determined by $\rho_{min} = q(1 + \frac{2}{q})^{1+\frac{q}{2}}m^3$. This means that the class of spacetimes $(M, g_{ab}^{(q)}, \phi^{(q)}/q > 0)$ given by the line element (9), consists of wormhole (singularity free) spacetimes that are conformal and, in the light of the viewpoint developed in this letter, physically equivalent to the Schwarzschild black hole spacetime given by the line element (2).

The absence of spacetime singularities and black holes in the formulation of general relativity due to the action (3) that is conformal to the canonical one should be tested, however, with the help of material test particles. In this sense the free-motion world path of some test particle that is under the influence of the metric field g_{ab} (conformal to $\hat{g}_{ab}$) is given by the equation:

$$\frac{d^2 x^a}{ds^2} = -\Gamma_{nm}^a \frac{dx^n}{ds} \frac{dx^m}{ds} - \frac{1}{2} \phi^{-1} \phi_{,n} \left[\frac{dx^n}{ds} \frac{dx^a}{ds} - g^{na} \right] \quad (11)$$

that is conformal to the geodesic equation: $\frac{d^2 x^a}{ds^2} = -\hat{\Gamma}_{mn}^a \frac{dx^m}{ds} \frac{dx^n}{ds}$ for a test particle that is influenced by the metric $\hat{g}_{ab}$. Consider the radial motion ($d\Omega^2 = 0$) of a time-like test particle that approaches the surface $r = 2m$. In this case equation (9) can be written as:

$$1 = \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)^{1-q} \dot{t}^2 - \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)^{-1-q} \dot{r}^2 \quad (12)$$

where the overdot means derivative with respect to the proper time τ ($d\tau^2 = -ds^2$). The time component of eq.(11) can be integrated to give:

$$\dot{t} = C_1 \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)^{\frac{q}{2}-1} \quad (13)$$

The integration constant C_1 can be found with the help of the initial conditions $r(0) = r_0$, $\dot{r}(0) = 0$ (the particle falls from rest at the initial point r_0); giving $C_1^2 = -(1 - \frac{2m}{r_0})$. Equation (13) together with (12) lead that the proper time taken for the test particle to fall from r_0 to some other value $2m < r < r_0$ of the Schwarzschild radial coordinate is given by:

$$\tau = \int_r^{r_0} \frac{r^{\frac{q}{2}} dr}{\left(\frac{2m}{r} - \frac{2m}{r_0}\right) \sqrt{(r-2m)^q}} \quad (14)$$

Integral (14) can be evaluated to give ($q \neq 2$):

$$\tau > \frac{(2m)^{\frac{q}{2}}}{1 - \frac{q}{2}} \sqrt{1 - \frac{2m}{r_0}} \left[(r_0 - 2m)^{1-\frac{q}{2}} - (r - 2m)^{1-\frac{q}{2}} \right] \quad (15)$$

or ($q = 2$):

$$\tau > 2m \ln \frac{(r_0 - 2m)}{(r - 2m)} \quad (16)$$

³The wormhole is asymmetric under the interchange of the two asymptotic regions

It can be seen that for $q \geq 2$ the proper time taken for the test particle to go from r_0 to the neighborhood of $2m$ ($r \rightarrow 2m$) is infinite, i.e., the particle never reaches the surface $r = 2m$ and, consequently, it never meets any singularity. This means that spacetimes in the class $(M, g_{ab}^{(q)}, \phi^{(q)}/q \geq 2)$ given by the line element (9) are singularity free spacetimes.

In the light of the viewpoint developed in this letter, the spacetime with the Schwarzschild black hole (line element (2)) and wormhole spacetimes without singularity (line element (9) with $q \geq 2$) are physically equivalent respecting the interpretation of the given experimental data. When one chooses the usual Einstein's formulation of general relativity (action (1)) for the description of a given physical situation, its geometrical interpretation should be given in terms of Riemann geometry with constant units of measure. If, in place of this, one chooses the formulation of general relativity due to (3) then, the corresponding geometrical interpretation of the given physical situation should be formulated in terms of a more general geometry with the units of measure varying length in spacetime. This leads, in particular, that both the gravitational constant G and the rest mass of any particle are variable over the spacetime manifold in this last formulation of general relativity.

Respecting symmetry requirements, however, formulations due to (1) and (3) are not equivalent. Action (3), for instance, is invariant in form in respect to the following conformal transformations:

$$\tilde{g}_{ab} = \phi^2 g_{ab} \quad (17)$$

$$\tilde{\phi} = \phi^{-1} \quad (18)$$

and

$$\tilde{g}_{ab} = f g_{ab} \quad (19)$$

$$\tilde{\phi} = f^{-1} \phi \quad (20)$$

where f is some function given on the manifold. In both cases the invariance in form of the action (3) can be verified by direct substitution of (17) and (18) or (19) and (20) in (3). In the last case, for example, we obtain that, under (19) and (20), the action (3) is mapped into:

$$S = \frac{1}{16\pi} \int d^4x \sqrt{-\tilde{g}} [\tilde{\phi} \tilde{R} + \frac{3}{2} \tilde{\phi}^{-1} (\tilde{\nabla} \tilde{\phi})^2 + 3 \tilde{\nabla}^n \tilde{v}_n] \quad (21)$$

where the vector $\tilde{v}_n \equiv \tilde{\phi} f^{-1} \tilde{\nabla}_n f$. We complete our demonstration after dropping the ordinary divergence term under integral in (21).

Action (1), for his part, doesn't possess the above symmetries. This means that, respecting symmetry arguments, the formulation of general relativity based on action (3) and, correspondingly, wormhole (singularity free) spacetimes it leads (line element (9) with $q \geq 2$), should be preferred over the usual Einstein's formulation based on action (1) and leading to the Schwarzschild black hole spacetime with the line element (2).

A similar discussion on the spurious character of a black hole solution in the classical approximation in two dimensional spacetimes we found in [6].

We acknowledge conversations with colleagues Rolando Cardenas and Rolando Bonal and MES of Cuba by financial support.

-
- [1] E. Ayón-Beato and A. García, Gen. Rel. Grav. **31**, 629-633(1999), gr-qc/9911084.
 - [2] I. Quiros, gr-qc/9905071; I. Quiros, R. Bonal and R. Cardenas, gr-qc/9908075.
 - [3] C. Brans, R. H. Dicke, Phys. Rev. **124**, 925(1961); R. H. Dicke, Phys. Rev. **125**, 2163(1962).
 - [4] A. Albrecht and J. Magueijo, Phys. Rev. D **59**, 043516(1999), astro-ph/9811018.
 - [5] R. Penrose, Nuovo Cimento **1**, 533(1965).
 - [6] J. G. Russo, L. Susskind and L. Thorlacius, Phys. Rev.D **46**, 3444(1992)/ T. Fujiwara, Y. Igarashi and J. Kubo, Phys. Lett. **B316**, 66(1993).